

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION AND TERMS OF REFERENCE

John Spain Associates Planning and Development Consultants have been commissioned by Ballymount Properties Limited to prepare an Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) for a proposed residential development, neighbourhood centre, open space and associated infrastructure on part of the site of the former Magee Barracks in Kildare Town, County Kildare. This chapter of the EIAR was prepared by Paul Turley, BA, MRUP, Dip Environmental & Planning Law, MIPI, Executive Director with John Spain Associates, and approved by John Spain, Managing Director.

Having regard to Article 3 of the 2014 EIA Directive, and Circular Letter PL1/2017 of the Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government, this document has been titled an Environmental Impact Assessment Report. However, this document also constitutes and fulfils the requirement of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) as required under and in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended (Part X) and Part 10 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001-2018.

The central purpose of the EIA process is to undertake an assessment of the likely and significant impacts on the environment of the proposed development in parallel with the project design process and to document this process in a report (EIAR) which is submitted to the competent / consent authority in order to inform the subsequent decision as to whether the development should be permitted to proceed.

A full description of the proposed development lands together with a description of the proposed development is provided in Chapter 2 of this report. In summary, the development proposals consist of:

- The demolition of 16 no. disused buildings;
- The construction of a residential development consisting of 264 no. residential units, a neighbourhood centre, internal roads, parking, pedestrian and cycle paths, public open space and all associated site and infrastructural works; and
- Provision of a new vehicular access to the development via Hospital Street and associated road works.

The proposed development comprises Phase 1 (11.14 ha) of the overall development of the applicant's c. 20.78 ha landholding at this location. The planning application is accompanied by an overall site masterplan drawing indicating future phases on the remainder of the lands, which include a supermarket, a cancer treatment clinic (proton therapy), and a Phase 2 residential development of c. 179 units, which will be subject to separate planning applications. This EIAR includes a cumulative assessment of the relevant environmental impacts associated with the potential redevelopment provided for in the overall Masterplan, and the baseline surveys undertaken by the EIAR consultants cover the entire c. 20.78 ha landholding.

This EIAR document has been prepared in accordance with the European Union EIA Directive 85/337/EC as amended by directives 97/11/EC, 2003/4/EC, 2011/92/EU and 2014/52/EU, and a description of the methodological approach to the preparation of this EIAR is provided in the following sections of this chapter.

1.2 DEFINITION OF EIA AND EIAR

Directive 2014/52/EU defines environmental impact assessment as a process which includes the responsibility of the developer to prepare an EIAR, and the responsibility of the competent authority to provide reasoned conclusions following the examination of the EIAR and other relevant information.

Article 1(2)(g) 4 of Directive 2014/52/EU states that “environmental impact assessment” means a process consisting of:

- (i) the preparation of an environmental impact assessment report by the developer, as referred to in Article 5(1) and (2);*
- (ii) the carrying out of consultations as referred to in Article 6 and, where relevant, Article 7;*
- (iii) the examination by the competent authority of the information presented in the environmental impact assessment report and any supplementary information provided, where necessary, by the developer in accordance with Article 5(3), and any relevant information received through the consultations under Articles 6 and 7;*
- (iv) the reasoned conclusion by the competent authority on the significant effects of the project on the environment, taking into account the results of the examination referred to in point (iii) and, where appropriate, its own supplementary examination; and*
- (v) the integration of the competent authority's reasoned conclusion into any of the decisions referred to in Article 8a.*

The amended Directive (Directive 2014/52/EU) uses the term environmental impact assessment report (EIAR) rather than environmental impact statement (EIS). Where current national guidelines and regulations refer to an environmental impact statement or EIS, this can be taken to be the same as an EIAR.

A definition of an EIAR has not been included in the revised directive; however, the EPA Guidelines (2017)¹ provide the following definition:

‘A statement of the effects, if any, which proposed development, if carried out, would have on the environment.

The EIAR is prepared by the developer and is submitted to a CA (Competent Authority) as part of a consent process. The CA uses the information provided to assess the environmental effects of the project and, in the context of other considerations, to help determine if consent should be granted. The information in the EIAR is also used by other parties to evaluate the acceptability of the project and its effects and to inform their submissions to the CA.

The EIAR consists of a systematic analysis and assessment of the potential effects of a proposed project on the receiving environment. The amended EIA Directive prescribes a range of environmental factors which are used to organise descriptions of the environment and these factors must be addressed in the EIAR.

¹ *Draft Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports*, Environmental Protection Agency, 2017

The EIAR should be prepared at a stage in the design process where changes can still be made to avoid adverse effects. This often results in the modification of the project to avoid or reduce effects through redesign’.

In summary, EIA is a process for anticipating the effects on the environment caused by development. An EIAR is the document produced as a result of that process and provides information which the competent / consent authorities use in deciding whether or not to grant consent. Where significant and likely environmental effects are identified that are unacceptable, the EIA process aims to quantify and minimise the impact development projects have on the environment through appropriate mitigation measures. The preparation of an EIAR document requires site-specific considerations and the preparation of baseline assessment against which the likely impacts of a proposed development can be assessed by way of a concise, standardised and systematic methodology.

1.3 EIA LEGISLATION

Certain public and private projects that are likely to have significant effects on the environment are subject to EIA requirements derived from EIA Directive 85/337/EC (as amended by Council Directive 97/11/EC, Directive 2003/4/EC, Directive 2009/31/EC, Directive 2011/92/EU and recently Directive 2014/52/EU, which amends EIA law in a number of respects by amending Directive 2011/92/EU) which are designed to ensure that projects likely to have significant effects on the environment are subject to a comprehensive assessment of environmental effects prior to development consent being given.

Article 2 of Directive 2014/52/EU provides that Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with the Directive by 16 May 2017.

Circular letter PL 1/2017 states that the Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government is in the process of drafting the required amendments to the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, and the Planning and Development Regulations 2001-2018 to provide for the transposition of the Directive into the Irish planning code.

The Department is also in the process of updating the March 2013 ‘Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment’ to provide practical guidance on legal and procedural issues arising from the requirement to undertake EIA in accordance with Directive 2014/52/EU. The Draft Guidelines prepared by the EPA have informed this EIAR.

The Circular states that in advance of transposition of Directive 2014/52/EU into Irish law, it is a matter for each Competent Authority to apply the advice contained in the Circular Letter, taking such advices as the Competent Authority considers appropriate.

The Circular also states that in respect of applications for planning permission or other development consent received on or after 16 May 2017 falling within the scope of Directive 2011/92/EU, or within the scope of Directive 2014/52/EU, competent authorities are advised to consider applying the requirements of Directive 2014/52/EU by way of administrative provisions in advance of the transposition of Directive 2014/52/EU into Irish law.

Therefore notwithstanding the absence of national transposition legislation, the provisions of the new Directive are considered to apply from the 16th of May 2017.

1.4 EIA GUIDELINES

EIA practice has evolved substantially since the introduction of the EIA Directive in 1985. Practice continues to evolve, and takes into account the growing body of experience in carrying out EIAs in the development sector. Table 1.1 sets out the relevant key EIA Guidance which has been consulted in the preparation of this EIAR. In addition, the individual chapters of this EIAR should be referred to for further information on the documents consulted by each individual consultant.

Table 1.1 EIA Guidelines Consulted as Part of the Preparation of this EIAR

| Irish |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports, EPA, August 2017 • Transposition of 2014 EIA Directive (2014/52/EU) in the Land Use Planning and EPA Licensing Systems - Key Issues Consultation Paper, Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government, 2017. • Circular letter PL 1/2017 - Advice on Administrative Provisions in Advance of Transposition (2017). • Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment (DoECLG, March 2013). • Development Management Guidelines (DoEHLG, 2007). • Advice Notes on Current Practice (in preparation of Environmental Impact Statements) (EPA 2003). • Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), Guidance for Consent Authorities Regarding Sub-Threshold Development (DoEHLG 2003). • Guidelines on Information to be Contained in an Environmental Impact Statement (EPA 2002). |
| European Union (in addition to Directives referenced above) |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study on the Assessment of Indirect & Cumulative Impacts as well as Impact Interaction (DG Environment 2002). • EU Guidance on EIA Screening (DG Environment 2001). • Guidance on EIA Scoping (DG Environment 2001). • EIA Review Checklist (DG Environment 2001). |

The most recent guidelines are the Draft Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports published by the EPA and the key issues consultation paper published by the Department.

The new EPA draft guidelines have been prepared to help practitioners interpret the amended EIA Directive and in advance of new regulations transposing Directive 2014/52/EU becoming available.

They provide practical guidance to planning authorities, An Bord Pleanála, and other relevant stakeholders, on procedural issues and the EIA process, and outline the key changes introduced by Directive 2014/52/EU.

The consultation paper issued by the Department focuses on changes required in the planning code (Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, and the Planning and Development Regulations 2000-2018).

1.5 SCREENING – REQUIREMENT FOR AN EIA

Screening is the term used to describe the process for determining whether a proposed development requires an EIA by reference to mandatory legislative threshold requirements or by reference to the type and scale of the proposed development and the significance or the environmental sensitivity of the receiving baseline environment.

Annex I of the EIA Directive 85/337/EC requires as mandatory the preparation of an EIA for all development projects listed therein.

Schedule 5 (Part 1) of the Planning & Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) transposes Annex 1 of the EIA Directive directly into Irish land use planning legislation. The Directive prescribes mandatory thresholds in respect to Annex 1 projects.

Annex II of the EIA Directive provides EU Member States discretion in determining the need for an EIA on a case-by-case basis for certain classes of project having regard to the overriding consideration that projects likely to have significant effects on the environment should be subject to EIA.

Schedule 5 (Part 2) of the Planning & Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) sets mandatory thresholds for each project class. Sub-section 10(b) (iv) addresses 'Infrastructure Projects' and requires that the following class of project be subject to EIA:

'Urban development which would involve an area greater than 2 hectares in the case of a business district, 10 hectares in the case of other parts of a built-up area and 20 hectares elsewhere.'

The proposed development involves the demolition of existing buildings and the construction of a residential development of 264 no. units and a neighbourhood centre on a site of 11.14 hectares within the built-up area of Kildare Town. EIA is therefore mandatory for the proposed development as it exceeds the relevant urban development area threshold of 10 hectares.

As mentioned in Section 1.1, the proposed development comprises the first phase of the overall development of the applicant's c. 20.78 ha landholding at this location. This EIAR will include a cumulative assessment of the overall masterplan proposals, to be progressed as separate applications.

1.6 SCOPING

The applicant is committed to ensuring that all of its developments are conducted in a responsible and sustainable manner. A scoping process to identify the issues that are likely to be most important during the Environmental Impact Assessment process was carried out. Section 173(2) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) provides that a request for scoping may be submitted to the planning authority, however, this is not mandatory.

The EIAR prepared for the scheme has endeavoured to be as thorough as possible and therefore the provisions included in the revised EIA Directive and all of the issues listed in Schedule 6, Sections 1, 2 and 3 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001-2018 and in recent guidance documents have been addressed in the EIAR.

In this context the following topics/issues have been reviewed and addressed in the context of the proposed development:

- Introduction and Methodology
- Project Description and Alternatives Examined
- Population and Human Health
- Archaeology and Cultural Heritage
- Architectural Heritage
- Biodiversity
- Landscape and Visual Impact
- Land and Soils
- Water
- Air Quality and Climate
- Noise and Vibration
- Material Assets
- Interactions of the Foregoing
- Principle Mitigation and Monitoring Measures

In addition to the above, a series of standalone reports have been prepared to accompany the application and have helped inform the above chapters of the EIAR where relevant:

- RKD Architects have prepared a Site Heritage / Sense of Place Report;
- Garland Consulting Engineers have prepared a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment, a Construction Environmental Management Plan, a Construction and Demolition Waste Management Plan, an Operational Waste Management Plan and a structural survey of the Officers' Mess building and water tower on site;
- Roadplan Consulting Engineers have prepared a Transport Impact Assessment and Quality Audit; and
- BSM have prepared an Appropriate Assessment Screening Report.

It was necessary to examine each of the aforementioned sections of the EIAR with respect to the impacts that the proposed development could have on the environment. The purpose of this scoping exercise was to shape and mould the EIAR so as not to dismiss any potential impacts that could in fact be significant, and to focus on issues that needed to be resolved.

The scope of this EIAR was informed by the following:

- Draft Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports, EPA, 2017;
- Transposition of 2014 EIA Directive (2014/52/EU) in the Land Use Planning and EPA Licencing Systems - Key Issues Consultation Paper, Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government, 2017;
- Circular letter PL 1/2017 - Advice on Administrative Provisions in Advance of Transposition (2017);
- The requirements of Part X of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended, and Part 10 of the Planning & Development Regulations, 2001-2018;
- The requirements of the Kildare County Development Plan 2017-2023 and the Kildare Town Local Area Plan 2012-2018;
- National and regional planning policy documents;
- The likely concerns of third parties;
- The nature, location and scale of the proposal;
- The existing environment together with any vulnerable or sensitive local features and current uses;

- The planning history and environmental assessments associated with the subject site and adjoining lands;
- The likely and significant impacts of the proposed development on the environment; and
- Available methods of reducing or eliminating undesirable impacts.

Pre-planning discussions have taken place with technical and planning staff at Kildare County Council and a strategic housing development pre-application meeting has been held between the project team and representatives of Kildare County Council and An Bord Pleanála. The results of these discussions have assisted in the preparation of this EIAR and planning application.

1.7 PURPOSE OF THIS EIAR

The EPA Guidelines state that the main purpose of an EIAR:

‘..is to identify, describe and present an assessment of the likely significant impacts of a project on the environment. This informs the CA’s assessment process, its decision on whether to grant consent for a project and, if granting consent, what conditions to attach. The EIAR focuses on:

- *Impacts that are both likely and significant;*
- *Impact descriptions that are accurate and credible’*

In addition to identifying and predicting the likely predicted significant environmental impacts resulting from the proposed development, the EIAR should describe the means and extent by which they can be reduced or ameliorated, interpret and communicate information about the likely impacts and provide an input into the decision making and planning process.

The intention of this EIAR is to provide transparent, objective and replicable documentary evidence of the EIA evaluation and decision making processes which led to the selection of the final project configuration. The EIAR documents the consideration of environmental effects that influenced the evaluation of alternatives. It also documents how the selected project design incorporates mitigation measures, including impact avoidance, reduction or amelioration, and explains how significant adverse effects will be avoided.

It is intended that this EIAR will assist An Bord Pleanála, statutory consultees and the public in assessing all aspects of the application proposals.

1.8 OBJECTIVES OF THIS EIAR

The EPA Guidelines list the following fundamental principles to be followed when preparing an EIAR:

- Anticipating, avoiding and reducing significant effects;
- Assessing and mitigating effects;
- Maintaining objectivity;
- Ensuring clarity and quality;
- Providing relevant information to decision makers; and
- Facilitating better consultation.

This EIAR describes the outcomes of the iterative EIA process which was progressed in parallel with the project design process. This forms the first part of the EIA process which will be completed by the

competent authority, which in turn will be required to examine, analyse and evaluate the direct and indirect effects of the development on the various factors listed under Section 171A of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended.

The amended EIA Directive prescribes a range of environmental factors which are used to organise descriptions of the environment and the environmental impact assessment should identify, describe and assess in an appropriate manner, in the light of each individual case, the direct and indirect significant effects of a project on the prescribed environmental factors which are:

- (a) Population and human health;
- (b) Biodiversity, with particular attention to species and habitats protected under Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC;
- (c) Land, soil, water, air and climate;
- (d) Material assets, cultural heritage and the landscape; and
- (e) The interaction between the factors referred to in points (a) to (d).

This EIAR documents the assessment process of the prescribed environmental factors in relation to the proposed development.

The EIA process was based on the following four key objectives:

- Pursuing preventative action;
- Maintaining environmental focus and scope;
- Informing the decision; and
- Public & stakeholder participation

1.8.1 Pursuing Preventative Action

Pursuing preventative action is the most effective means by which potential negative environmental impacts can be avoided. An assessment of anticipated likely and significant impacts was undertaken during the screening, informal scoping and the considerations of alternatives stages of the EIA process. This involved forming a preliminary opinion, in the absence of complete data, with respect to the approximate magnitude and character of the likely environmental impacts. This assessment was based on the knowledge, experience and expertise of the EIA and project design team with reference to the amended EIA Directive, EIA guidance material and local precedents.

Avoidance of impacts has been principally achieved through the consideration of alternatives and through the review of the project design in light of identified key environmental constraints. This is outlined in greater detail in Chapter 2.

1.8.2 Maintain Environmental Scope and Focus

It is important that the EIAR document remains tightly focussed. This minimises expenses, delays and the potential for a confusing mass of data to obscure relevant facts. The EIA process has been project managed and steered so as to ensure that the EIAR documentation and analysis are confined to those topics and issues which are explicitly described in the legislation, and where environmental impacts may arise. Evaluation and analysis has been limited to topics where the indirect, secondary or cumulative

impacts are either wholly or dominantly due to the project or development under consideration and remain focused on issues that:

- Are environmentally based;
- Are likely to occur; and,
- Have significant and adverse effects.

1.8.3 Informing the Decision

The EIAR document enables the competent/consent authorities to reach a decision on the acceptability of the proposed development in the full knowledge of the project's likely significant impacts on the environment, if any.

1.8.4 Public & Stakeholder Participation

Decisions are taken by competent/consent authorities through the statutory planning process which allows for public participation and consultation while receiving advice from other key stakeholders and statutory authorities with specific environmental responsibilities.

Public participation and consultation is an integral part of the new Strategic Housing Development process as outlined in the Planning and Development (Housing) and Residential Tenancies Act 2016 and the Planning and Development (Strategic Housing Development) Regulations 2017.

The structure, presentation and the non-technical summary of the EIAR, as well as the arrangements for public access, all facilitate the dissemination of the information contained in the EIAR. The core objective is to ensure that the public and local community are aware of the likely environmental impacts of projects prior to the granting of consent.

Informal scoping of potential environmental impacts was undertaken with the Planning Authority through pre-application meetings. Direct and formal public participation in the EIA process will be through the statutory planning application process under the Strategic Housing Development procedures.

1.9 FORMAT AND STRUCTURE OF THIS EIAR

The preparation of an EIAR requires the assimilation, co-ordination and presentation of a wide range of relevant information in order to allow for the overall assessment of a proposed development. For clarity and to allow for ease of presentation and consistency when considering the various elements of the proposed development, a systematic structure is used for the main body of this EIAR.

The structure used in this EIAR is a 'grouped format' structure. This structure examines each environmental topic² in a separate chapter of this EIAR document. The structure of the EIAR document is set out in Table 1.2 below.

²In some instances similar environmental topics are grouped.

Table 1.2 Structure of this EIAR

| Chapter | Title | Content |
|----------------|---|--|
| 1 | Introduction and Methodology | Sets out the purpose, methodology and scope of the document. |
| 2 | Project Description and Alternatives Examined | Sets out the description of the site, design and scale of development, considers all relevant phases from construction through to existence and operation together with a description and evaluation of the reasonable alternatives studied by the developer including alternative locations, designs and processes considered, and a justification for the option chosen taking into account the effects of the project on the environment. |
| 3 | Population and Human Health | Describes the demographic and socio-economic profile of the receiving environment and potential impact of the proposed development on population, i.e. human beings, and human health. |
| 4 | Archaeology and Cultural Heritage | Provides an assessment of the site, and considers the potential impact of the proposed development on the local archaeology, architectural and cultural heritage; and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 5 | Architectural Heritage | Provides an assessment of the baseline architectural heritage and an assessment of the potential effects of the proposed development on architectural heritage and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 6 | Biodiversity | Describes the existing ecology on site and in the surrounding catchment, and assesses the potential impact of the proposed development and mitigation measures incorporated into the design of the scheme. |
| 7 | Landscape & Visual Impact | Provides an overview of the baseline position, the potential impact of the proposed development on the landscape appearance and character and visual environment, and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 8 | Land and Soils | Provides an overview of the baseline position, the potential impact of the proposed development on the site's soil and geology and impacts in relation to land take and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 9 | Water | Provides an overview of the baseline position, the potential impact of the proposed development on water quality and quantity and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 10 | Air Quality and Climate | Provides an overview of the baseline air quality and climatic environment, the potential impact of the proposed development, the vulnerability of the project to climate change, and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 11 | Noise and Vibration | Provides an overview of the baseline noise environment, the potential impact of the proposed |

| Chapter | Title | Content |
|---------|---|--|
| | | development and recommends mitigation measures. |
| 12 | Material Assets | Describes the existing services and infrastructural service requirements of the proposed development and the likely impact of the proposed development on material assets. |
| 13 | Interactions of the Foregoing | Describes the potential interactions and interrelationships between the various environmental factors |
| 14 | Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring Measures | Sets out the key mitigation and monitoring measures included in the EIAR Document for ease of reference. |

This systematic approach described above employs standard descriptive methods, replicable assessment techniques and standardised impact descriptions to provide an appropriate evaluation of each environmental topic under consideration. An outline of the methodology employed consistently in each chapter to examine each environmental topic is provided below:

- **Introduction:** Provides an overview of the specialist area and specifies the specialist who prepared the assessment.
- **Study Methodology:** This subsection outlines the method by which the relevant impact assessment has been conducted within that chapter.
- **The Existing Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation):** In describing the receiving environment, the **context, character, significance and sensitivity** of the baseline receiving environment into which the proposed development will fit is assessed. This also takes account of any proposed developments that are likely to proceed.
- **Characteristics of the Proposed Development:** Consideration of the ‘Characteristics of the Proposed Development’ allows for a projection of the ‘level of impact’ on any particular aspect of the proposed environment that could arise. For each chapter those characteristics of the proposed development which are relevant to the area of study are described; for example the chapter on landscape and visual impact addresses issues such as height and impact on the surrounding landscape.
- The characteristics of projects must be considered, with particular regard to: (a) the size and design of the whole project; (b) cumulation with other existing and/or approved projects; (c) the use of natural resources, in particular land, soil, water and biodiversity; (d) the production of waste; (e) pollution and nuisances; (f) the risk of major accidents and/or disasters which are relevant to the project concerned, including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge; (g) the risks to human health (for example due to water contamination or air pollution).
- **Potential Impact of the Proposed Development:** This section provides a description of the specific, direct and indirect impacts that the proposed development may have. This is provided with reference to both the Receiving Environment and Characteristics of the Proposed Development sections while also referring to the (i) magnitude (ii) intensity, (iii) duration and (iv) probability of impacts. Impact assessment addresses direct, indirect, secondary, cumulative, transboundary, short, medium and long-term, permanent, temporary, positive and negative effects as well as impact interactions.

- **Do Nothing Impact:** In order to provide a qualitative and equitable assessment of the proposed development, this section considers the proposed development in the context of the likely impacts upon the receiving environment should the proposed development not take place.
- **Avoidance, Remedial and Mitigation Measures:** Avoidance, remedial and mitigation measures describe any corrective or mitigative measures that are either practicable or reasonable, having regard to the potential impacts. This includes avoidance, reduction and remedy measures as set out in Section 4.7 of the Development Management Guidelines 2007 to reduce or eliminate any significant adverse impacts identified.
- **Predicted Impacts of the Proposed Development:** This section allows for a qualitative description of the resultant specific direct, indirect, secondary, cumulative, transboundary, short, medium and long-term, permanent, temporary, positive and negative effects as well as impact interactions which the proposed development may have, assuming all mitigation measures are fully and successfully applied.
- **Monitoring:** This involves a description of monitoring in a post-development phase, if required. This section addresses the effects that require monitoring, along with the methods and the agencies that are responsible for such monitoring.
- **Reinstatement:** While not applicable to every aspect of the environment considered within the EIAR, certain measures need to be proposed to ensure that in the event of the proposal being discontinued, there will be minimal impact to the environment.
- **Interactions:** This section provides a description of impact interactions together with potential indirect, secondary and cumulative impacts
- **Difficulties Encountered in Compiling:** This section provides an indication of any difficulties encountered by the environmental specialist in compiling the required information.

1.10 EIA PROJECT TEAM

1.10.1 EIA Project Management

This EIA was project managed, co-ordinated and produced by John Spain Associates. John Spain Associates role was to coordinate the EIA process and to liaise between the design team and various environmental specialist consultants. John Spain Associates were also responsible for editing the EIAR to ensure that it is cohesive and not a disjointed collection of disparate reports by various environmental specialists. John Spain Associates does not accept responsibility for the input of specialist consultants or the design team.

1.10.2 EIA Environmental Specialists

Environmental specialist consultants were also commissioned for the various technical chapters of the EIAR which are mandatorily required as per the EIA Directive and Regulations.

The amended EIA Directive (Directive 2014/52/EU) states the following in relation to the persons responsible for preparing the environmental impact assessment reports:

‘Experts involved in the preparation of environmental impact assessment reports should be qualified and competent. Sufficient expertise, in the relevant field of the project concerned, is required for the purpose of its examination by the competent authorities in order to ensure that the information provided by the developer is complete and of a high level of quality’.

In order to outline compliance with this requirement of the amended directive and in line with emerging best practice the EIAR states the names of the environmental consultants who have prepared each element of the EIAR and lists their qualifications and relevant experience; demonstrating that the EIAR has been prepared by competent experts.

Each environmental specialist was commissioned having regard to: their previous experience in EIA; their knowledge of relevant environmental legislation relevant to their topic; their familiarity with the relevant standards and criteria for evaluation relevant to their topic; their ability to interpret the specialised documentation of the construction sector and to understand and anticipate how their topic will be affected during construction and operation phases of development; their ability to arrive at practicable and reliable measure to mitigate or avoid adverse environmental impacts; and their ability to clearly and comprehensively present their findings.

Each environmental specialist was required to characterise the receiving baseline environment, evaluate its significance and sensitivity, predict how the receiving environment will interact with the proposed development and to work with the EIA project design team to devise measures to mitigate any adverse environmental impacts identified.

The relevant specialist consultants who contributed to the EIAR and their inputs are set out in Table 1.4 below.

Table 1.3 EIAR Specialist Consultants

| Organisation | EIAR Specialist Topics / Inputs |
|---|---|
| <p>John Spain Associates, Planning & Development Consultants, 39 Fitzwilliam Place, Dublin 2, D02 ND61 T: 01 662 5803 E: pturley@johnspainassociates.com</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction and Methodology • Project Description and Alternatives Examined • Population and Human Health • Material Assets • Interactions of the Foregoing • Principle Mitigation and Monitoring Measures • Non-Technical Summary |
| <p>RKD Architects, 59 Northumberland Road, Ballsbridge, Dublin 4. T: 01 6681055 E: pdavey@rkdarchitects.com</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Description and Alternatives Examined • Material Assets |
| <p>Garland Consulting, Garland House, 28-30 Rathmines Park, Rathmines, Dublin 6. T: 01 4964322 E: kevin.rudden@garlandconsultancy.com</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land and Soils • Material Assets |

| Organisation | EIAR Specialist Topics / Inputs |
|--|---|
| E: alan.menthe@garlandconsultancy.com E:james.naughton@garlandconsultancy.com | |
| Brady Shipman Martin Canal House Canal Road Dublin 6 T: 01 208 1900 E: thomasburns@bradyshipmanmartin.com E: matthague@bradyshipmanmartin.com | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Landscape and Visual Impact (including photomontages) |
| AWN Consulting, The Tecpro Building, Clonsaugh Business & Technology Park, Dublin 17. T: 01 8474220 E: edward.porter@awnconsulting.com E: Avril.Challoner@awnconsulting.com | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air Quality and Climate • Noise and Vibration |
| Courtney Deery Heritage Consultancy, Lynwood House, Balinteer Road, Dublin 16. T: 086 8294150 E: clare@courtneydeery.ie | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Archaeology and Cultural Heritage |
| Cathal Crimmins Conservation Architects, Meeting Hall, 73 George's Avenue, Blackrock, Co. Dublin. T: 01 2786164 E: architect@crimmins.ie | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Architectural Heritage |
| BlueRock Environmental Limited, 33 Lower Salthill, Galway. T: 091 442400 E: niall@bluerockenv.ie | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land and Soils • Water |

1.11 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

The EIA Directive requires that one of the objectives of the EIA process is to ensure that the public are fully aware of the environmental implications of any decisions.

The EPA Guidelines note that the non-technical summary of the EIAR should facilitate the dissemination of the information contained in the EIAR and that the core objective is to ensure that the public is made as fully aware as possible of the likely environmental impacts of projects prior to a decision being made by the Competent Authority.

A Non-Technical Summary of the EIAR has therefore been prepared which summarises the key environmental impacts and is provided as a separately bound document.

1.12 LINKS BETWEEN EIA AND APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT

Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) states any project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of a Natura 2000 site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to the Appropriate Assessment procedure of its likely implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives.

In January 2010, the Department issued a guidance document entitled 'Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities'. This guidance document enshrines the 'Source-Pathway-Receptor' into the assessment of plans and projects which may have an impact on Natura 2000 sites.

An Appropriate Assessment Stage 1 Screening exercise was undertaken by Brady Shipman Martin in accordance with 'Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 Sites – Methodological Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6 (3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)'. In accordance with these Guidelines, the Appropriate Assessment may be a separate document or form part of the EIAR. In the case of the proposed development a separate Appropriate Assessment Screening Report is submitted with this application.

1.13 AVAILABILITY OF EIAR DOCUMENTS

Copies of this EIAR and of the Non-Technical Summary of this EIAR are available for purchase at the offices of An Bord Pleanála and Kildare County Council (the Planning Authority) at a fee not exceeding the reasonable cost of reproducing the document. It can also be viewed on the SHD website (www.mageebarracksp1.ie) set up by the Applicant.

1.14 IMPARTIALITY

This EIAR has been prepared with reference to a standardised methodology which is universally accepted and acknowledged. Recognised and experienced environmental specialists have been used throughout the EIA process to ensure the EIAR produced is robust, impartial and objective.

It should be noted that, as highlighted above, an important part of the EIA process is preventative action which causes the project design team to devise measures to avoid, reduce or remedy significant adverse impacts in advance of applying for consent. As a result, where no likely significant impacts have been identified where they might reasonably be anticipated to occur, the design and layout of the proposed development has generally been amended to minimise the potential of any likely significant adverse impacts.

1.15 STATEMENT OF DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED

No exceptional difficulties were experienced in compiling the necessary information for the proposed development. Where any specific difficulties were encountered these are outlined in the relevant chapter of the EIAR.

1.16 QUOTATIONS

EIAR documents by their very nature contain statements about the proposed development, some of which are positive, and some negative. Selective quotation or quotations out of context can give a very misleading impression of the findings of this EIAR.

The EIAR study team urge that quotations should where reasonably possible be taken from the conclusions of specialists' chapters or from the non-technical summary and not selectively.

1.17 EIAR QUALITY CONTROL AND REVIEW

John Spain Associates is committed to consistently monitoring the quality of EIAR documents prepared both in draft form and before they are finalised, published and submitted to the appropriate competent authority taking into account latest best-practice procedure, legislation and policy.

The EPA's recently published 'Draft Guidance on Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports' has been consulted in the preparation of this EIAR.

1.18 ERRORS

While every effort has been made to ensure that the content of this EIAR document is error free and consistent there may be instances in this document where typographical errors and / or minor inconsistencies do occur. These typographical errors and / or minor inconsistencies are unlikely to have any material impact on the overall findings and assessment contained in this EIAR.

